ph			
<i></i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/546,191	HASHIMOTO, KAZUNORI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael W. Hoye	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this is) or other appropriate communical RIGHTS. This application is subjection.	application. If not included tion will be mailed in due course. THIS	
1. $igotimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>Amendment filed o</u>	n 6/24/04.		
2. 🔀 The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>5-11</u> .			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>04 May 2000</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.		
4.	ave been received. ave been received in Application Note the attached EXAMIN gives reason(s) why the oath or declars of Patent Drawing Review (Patents Amendment / Comment or in the R 1.84(c)) should be written on the drant the header according to 37 CFR 1.1	nis national stage application from the ply complying with the requirements ER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF laration is deficient. FO-948) attached the Office action of awings in the front (not the back) of 21(d).	
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the de attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMEN 	posit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIA IT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOG	L must be submitted. Note the SICAL MATERIAL.	
Attachment(s)	• 	of Data of Aur (Saaking /DTO 450)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 		al Patent Application (PTO-152) arv (PTO-413).	
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	Paper No./Mail	Date	
Paper No./Mail Date	<i>,</i> . –		
 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Depos of Biological Material 	et 8. ⊠ Examiner's State 9. ☐ Other	ement of Reasons for Allowance	

Application/Control Number: 09/546,191

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment filed on 6/24/04, with respect to the rejection of claims 5 and 8 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eyer et al (USPN 6,160,545), have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 5-11 are allowed.

The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art, alone or in combination, does not teach or fairly suggest the subject matter of the Applicant's claimed invention as described below.

As to independent claim 5, the most applicable art of record, the Eyer et al (USPN 6,160,545) reference fails to teach or suggest the claimed, "said digital head end commonly transmits program data to the terminals provided in each area, said program data containing identifying data that indicates digital programs of not-yet-provided services for the plurality of areas and information regarding the digital programs..." The Eyer et al patent discloses that the microprocessor 170 of an IRD (Integrated Receiver Decoder) or terminal can discard or ignore IPG data (program data) for programs that are not defined within a channel map stored in the IRD. However, the Eyer et al reference fails to teach or disclose that the IPG data has "identifying data" which indicates programs of not-yet-provided services in a respective area or areas, and that such identifying data is transmitted to the IRD. The IRD discards programs that

Art Unit: 2614

are not defined in a channel map of the IRG in a particular region, rather than programs that are

specifically defined in identifying data that is transmitted to the IRD. Therefore, the reference

fails to teach or suggest that which is noted above.

As to independent claim 8, the claim is allowable based on similar reasons as set forth

above for independent claim 5.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael W. Hoye whose telephone number is (703) 305-6954.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Miller, can be reached at (703) 305-4795.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal

Service (USPS) as follows:

Mail Stop _____ Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: 2614

Please address mail to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolator, etc.) as follows:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 220 20th Street South Customer Window, Mail Stop ____ Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, Virginia 22202

Or faxed to: (703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is (703) 308-HELP.

Michael W. Hoye November 24, 2004

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600